

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/664,505	VRAA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jared J. Fureman	2876	

All Participants:

(1) Mr. Jared J. Fureman (PTO).

(2) Mr. William F. Noval (22,049).

Date of Interview: 3 November 2003

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 1

Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 10 am

Jared J. Fureman
Jared J. Fureman
Art Unit 2876

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During the interview, Mr. Noval authorized an examiner's amendment that was required in order to correct any claim objections or rejections under 35 USC 112 2nd paragraph, and to provide claim limitations consistent with the arguments filed on 8/25/2003, thus placing the application in condition for allowance..